

CHURCH AND AUTHORITYI

1. In any human community authority is a problem to which varying solutions are found by different groups. In the community of the Church the problem is given a distinctive and unique dimension by the fact that the source of authority is no mere man but Christ, the Word of God. As Lord of the Church he bestows the Holy spirit to create a communion of men with God and with one another. To bring this koinonia to perfection is God's eternal purpose. The Church exists to serve the fulfilment of this purpose when God will be all in all.
  
2. Through the <sup>g</sup>ift of the Spirit the apostolic community came to recognise in the words and deeds of Jesus the saving activity of God and their mission to proclaim to all men the good news of salvation. Therefore they preached Jesus through whom God has spoken finally to men. <sup>Assisted</sup> ~~Inspired~~ by the Holy Spirit they transmitted what they had heard and seen of the life and words of Jesus and their interpretation of his redemptive work. Consequently <sup>to</sup> inspired documents <sup>in which the is related</sup> came to be accepted by the Church in the canonical New Testament as a normative record of the authentic foundation of the faith. To these the Church has recourse for the inspiration of its life and mission; to these the Church refers its teaching and practice. Through these written words the authority of the Word of God is conveyed. Entrusted with these documents, the Christian community is enabled by the Holy Spirit to live out the gospel and so to be led into all truth. It is therefore given the capacity <sup>to</sup> ~~for~~ ~~assess~~ its faith and life and to speak to the world in the name of Christ. Shared commitment and belief create a common mind in determining how the gospel should be interpreted and obeyed. By reference to this common faith each person tests the truth of his own belief.

every local church must ... ever seek a deeper understanding & closer unity -  
 of its common faith, both of which are threatened when churches are isolated  
 by division.

II

3. The Spirit of the risen Lord, who indwells the Christian community, continues to maintain the People of God in obedience to the Father's will. He safeguards their faithfulness to the revelation of Jesus Christ and equips them for their mission in the world. By this action of the Holy Spirit the authority of the Lord is active in the Church. Through incorporation into Christ and obedience to him Christians are <sup>made</sup> ~~open~~ to one another and assume mutual obligations. Since the Lordship of Christ is universal, the community also bears a responsibility towards all mankind, which demands participation in all that promotes the good of society and responsiveness to every form of human need. The common life in the body of Christ equips the community and each of its members with what they need to fulfil this responsibility: they are enabled so to live that the authority of Christ will be mediated through them. This is Christian authority: when Christians so act and speak, men perceive the authoritative word of Christ.

III

4. The Church is a community which consciously seeks to submit to Jesus Christ. By sharing in the life of the Spirit all find within the koinonia the means to be faithful to the revelation of their Lord. Some respond more fully to his call; by the inner quality of their life they win a respect which allows them to speak in Christ's name with authority.

5. The Holy Spirit also gives to some individuals and communities special gifts for the benefit of the Church, which entitle them to speak and be heeded (~~text~~ (e.g. Eph IV, 11-12; Cor XII, 4-11))

Among these gifts of the Spirit for the edification of the Church is the episcopate of the ordained ministry. <sup>There are some whom</sup> The Holy Spirit commissions ~~some~~ through ordination for service to the whole community. They exercise their authority in fulfilling ministerial functions related to "the apostles' doctrine, the fellowship, the

breaking of bread and the prayers" (Acts 2:42). This pastoral authority belongs primarily to the bishop, who is responsible for preserving and promoting the integrity of the koinonia in order to further the Church's response to the Lordship of Christ and its commitment to mission. Since the bishop has general oversight of the community, he can require the compliance necessary to maintain faith and charity in its daily life. He ~~never~~<sup>does not</sup>, however, acts alone. All those who have ministerial authority must recognise their mutual responsibility and interdependence. This service of the Church, officially entrusted only to ordained ministers, is intrinsic to the Church's structure according to the mandate given by Christ and recognised by the community. This is <sup>yet</sup> another form of authority.

6. The perception of God's will for his Church does not belong only to the ordained ministry but is shared by all its members. All who live faithfully within (~~the life of~~) the koinonia may become sensitive to the leading of the Spirit and be brought towards a deeper understanding of the Gospel and of its implications in diverse cultures and changing situations. Ordained ministers commissioned to discern these insights and give authoritative expression to them, are part of the community, sharing its quest for understanding the Gospel in obedience to Christ and receptive to the needs and concerns of all.

The community, for its part, ~~must~~<sup>has</sup> respond to ~~the~~<sup>and</sup> insights and teaching of the ordained ministers. Through this continuing process of discernment and response, in which the faith is expressed and the Gospel is pastorally applied, the Holy Spirit declares the authority of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the faithful may live freely under the discipline of the Gospel+

---

+ In Tradition the appeal to Scripture, to the creeds, to the Fathers and to the definitions of the Councils of the undivided Church has been regarded as basic and normative. This is particularly emphasised in the Anglican tradition. For this reason the Lambeth Conference of 1968 stated that 'the inheritance of faith which characterises the Anglican Communion is an authority of multiple kind'. Report p.82 and cp. Lambeth 1948: 'Authority.. is single in that it is derived from a single Divine source... It is distributed among Scripture, Tradition, Creeds, the Ministry of the Word and Sacraments, the witness of the Saints, and the consensus fidelium... It is thus a dispersed rather than a centralised authority, having many elements which combine, interact with and check each other' (Lambeth Conference 1948, report ( pp.84\_5)

7. It is by such means as these that the Holy Spirit keeps the the Church under the Lordship of Christ who, taking full account of human weakness, has promised never to abandon his people. The authorities in the Church cannot adequately reflect Christ's authority because they are still subject to the limitations and sinfulness of human nature. Awareness of this inadequacy is a continual summons to reform.

#### IV

8. The koinonia is realised not only in the local Christian communities, but also in the communion of these communities with one another. The unity of local communities under one bishop constitutes what is commonly meant in our two communions by 'a local church', though the expression is sometimes used in other ways. Each local church is rooted in the witness of the apostles and entrusted with the apostolic mission. Faithful to the gospel, celebrating the one eucharist and dedicated to service of the same Lord, it is the Church of Christ. In spite of diversities each local church <sup>in the unity of the koinonia</sup> recognises its own essential features in the others and its true identity with them. The authoritative action and proclamation of the People of God to the world therefore are not simply the responsibilities of each church acting separately, but of all the local churches together. The spiritual gifts of one may be an inspiration to the others. Since each bishop must ensure that the local community is distinctively Christian he has to make it aware of the universal communion of which it is part. The bishop expresses this unity of his church with the others: this is symbolised by the participation of several bishops in his ordination.

9. Ever since the Council of Jerusalem (Acts 15) the churches have realised the need to express and strengthen the koinonia by coming together to discuss matters of mutual concern and to meet contemporary challenges. Such gatherings may be either regional or world-wide. Through such meetings the Church, determined to be obedient to Christ and faithful to its vocation, formulates its rule of faith and orders its life. In all these councils, whether of bishops only, or of bishops, clergy and laity (~~such as General Synods or Pastoral Synods~~), decisions are authoritative when they express the common faith and mind of the Church. The decisions of what has traditionally been called 'an 'ecumenical council' are binding upon the whole Church; those of a regional council or synod bind only the churches it represents. Such decrees are to be received by the local churches as expressing the mind of the Church. This exercise of authority, far from being an imposition, is designed to strengthen the life and mission of the local churches and of their members.

10. Early in the history of the Church a function of oversight of the other bishops of their regions was assigned to the bishops of prominent sees. Concern to keep the churches faithful to the will of Christ was among the considerations which contributed to this development. This practice has continued to the present day. This form of episcopate is a service to the Church carried out in co-responsibility with all the bishops of the region; for every bishop receives at ordination, both responsibility for his local church and the obligation to maintain it in living awareness and practical service of the other churches. The Church of God is found in each of them and in their koinonia.

11. The purpose of koinonia is the realisation of the will of Christ: 'Father, keep them in thy name, which thou hast given me, that they may be one, even as we are one'... 'so that the world may believe that thou hast sent me' (John 17:11.21). The bishop of a ~~prominent~~ principal see should seek the fulfilment of this

will of Christ in the churches of his region. It is his duty to assist the bishops to promote in their churches right teaching, holiness of life, brotherly unity and the Church's mission to the world. When he perceives a serious deficiency in the life or mission of one of the churches he is bound, if necessary, to call the local bishop's attention to it and to offer assistance. There will also be occasions when he has to assist other bishops to reach a common mind with regard to their shared needs and difficulties. Sharing together and active mutual concern are indispensable to the churches' effective witness to Christ.

12. It is within the context of this historical development that the see of Rome, whose prominence was associated with the death <sup>there</sup> of Peter and Paul, eventually became the principal centre in matters concerning the Church universal.

The importance of the bishop of Rome among his brother bishops, was explained by analogy with the position of Peter among the apostles, ~~and this~~ was interpreted as Christ's will for his Church.

On the basis of this analogy the First Vatican Council affirmed that this service was necessary to the unity of the whole Church. [It was understood that] far from overriding the authority of the bishops in their own dioceses, this service was <sup>explicitly</sup> intended to support them in their ministry of oversight. The Second Vatican Council placed this service in the wider context of the shared responsibility of all the bishops. The teaching of these councils shows that communion with the bishop of Rome does not imply submission to an authority which would stifle the distinctive features of the local churches. The purpose of this episcopal function of the bishop of Rome is to promote Christian fellowship in faithfulness to the teaching of the apostles.

The theological interpretation of this primacy and the administrative structures through which it has been exercised have varied considerably through the centuries. Neither theory nor practice, however, has ever fully reflected these ideals. Sometimes functions assumed by the see of Rome were not necessarily linked to the primacy: sometimes the conduct of the occupant of this see has been unworthy of his office: sometimes the image of this office has been obscured by interpretations placed upon it: and sometimes external pressures have made its proper exercise almost impossible. Yet the primacy, rightly understood, implies that the bishop of Rome exercises his oversight in order to guard and promote the faithfulness of all the churches to Christ and one another. Communion with him is intended as a safeguard of the catholicity of each local church, and as a sign of the communion of all the churches.

13. A local church cannot be truly faithful to Christ if it does not desire to foster universal communion, the embodiment of that unity for which Christ prayed. This communion is founded on ~~a common~~ <sup>the common</sup> faith in Jesus Christ, the incarnate Son of God, crucified, risen, ascended and now living through his Spirit in the Church. Every local church must therefore ever strive to enter into a deeper understanding of ~~this~~ <sup>the common</sup> faith, whose fulness and integrity are gravely impaired by division.

14. The Church's purpose in its proclamation is to lead mankind to accept God's saving work in Christ, an acceptance which not only requires intellectual assent but also demands the response of the whole person. In order to clarify and transmit what is believed and to build up and safeguard the Christian life, the Church has found the formulation of creeds, conciliar definitions, and other statements of belief indispensable. But these are always instrumental to the truth which they are intended to convey.

15. The Church's life and work are shaped by its historical origins, by its subsequent experience and by its endeavour to make the relevance of the gospel plain to every generation. Through reflexion upon the Word, through the proclamation of the gospel, and through worship, especially baptism and the eucharist, the people of God are moved to the living remembrance of Jesus Christ and of the experience and witness of the apostolic community. This remembrance supports and guides them in their search for language which will effectively communicate the meaning of the Gospel.

All generations and cultures must be helped to understand that the good news of salvation is also for them.



It is not enough for the Church simply to repeat the original apostolic words. It has also prophetically to translate them in order that the hearers in their situation may understand and respond to them. All such restatement must be consonant with the apostolic witness recorded in the Scriptures; for in this witness the preaching and teaching of ministers, and statements of local and universal councils, have to find their ground and consistency. Although these clarifications are conditioned by the circumstances which prompted them, some of their perceptions may be of lasting value. In this process the Church itself may come to see more clearly the implications of the Gospel. This is why the Church has endorsed certain formulas as authentic expressions of its witness, whose significance transcends the setting in which they were first formulated. This is not to claim that these formulas are the only possible, or even the most exact, way of expressing the faith, or that they can never be improved. Even when the Christian community regards a doctrinal definition as part of the permanent teaching of the Christian community, this does not exclude subsequent restatement. Although the categories of thought and the mode of expression may be superseded, restatement always builds upon, and does not contradict, the truth intended by the original definition.

16. Local councils held from (late in) the second century determined the limits of the New Testament, and gave to the Church a canon which has remained normative. The action of a council in making such a decision on so momentous a matter implies an assurance that the Lord himself is present when his people assemble 'in his name', (Matt. 18:20) and that a council may say, 'it has seemed good to the Holy Spirit and to us' (Acts 15:28). The conciliar mode of authority exercised in the matter of the canon has also been applied to

questions of discipline and of fundamental doctrine. When decisions (as at Nicaea, 325) affect the entire Church and deal with controverted matters which have been widely and seriously debated, it is important to establish criteria for the recognition and reception of conciliar definitions and disciplinary decisions. A substantial part in the process of reception is played by the subject matter of the definitions and by the response of the faithful. This process is often gradual, as the decisions come to be seen in perspective ~~in~~ <sup>through</sup> ~~relation~~ to the Spirit's continuing guidance of the whole Church.

17. Among the complex historical factors which contributed to the recognition of conciliar decisions considerable weight attached to their confirmation by the principal sees, and in particular by the see of Rome. At an early period other local churches actively sought the support and approbation of the church in Rome; and in course of time the agreement of the Roman see was regarded as necessary to the general acceptance of synodal decisions in major matters of more than regional concern, and also, eventually, to their canonical validity. By their agreement or disagreement the local church of Rome and its bishop fulfilled their responsibility towards other local churches and their bishops for maintaining the whole church in the truth. In addition the bishop of Rome was also led to intervene in controversies relating to matters of faith - in most cases in response to appeals made to him, but sometimes on his own initiative.

18. In its mission to proclaim and safeguard the gospel the Church has the obligation and the competence to make declarations in matters of faith. This mission involves the whole people of God, among whom some may rediscover or perceive

more clearly than others certain aspects of the saving truth. At times there result conflict and debate. Customs, accepted positions, beliefs, formulations and practices, as well as innovations and re-interpretations, may be shown to be inadequate, mistaken or even inconsistent with the gospel.

When conflict endangers unity or threatens to distort the gospel the Church must have effective means for resolving it.

In both our traditions, <sup>the episcopal & presbyterian, the council, the fathers the deacons</sup> bishops have a special responsibility <sup>of the Councils of the undivided Church is regarded as a source and normative, but the</sup> for promoting truth and discerning error, and the interaction

of bishop and people in its exercise is a safeguard of Christian life and fidelity. The teaching of the faith and the ordering of life in the Christian community require a daily exercise of this responsibility; but there is no guarantee that those who have an everyday responsibility will - any more than other members - invariably be free from errors of judgement, will never tolerate abuses and will never distort the truth. Yet, in Christian hope, we are confident that such failures cannot destroy the Church's ability to proclaim the gospel and to show forth the Christian life; for we believe that Christ will not desert his Church and that the Holy Spirit will lead it into all truth. That is why the Church, in spite of its failures, can be described as indefectible.

19. In times of crisis or when fundamental matters of faith are in question, the Church then can make judgements, consonant with Scripture, which are authoritative. When the Church meets in ecumenical council its decisions on fundamental matters of faith exclude what is erroneous. Through the Holy Spirit the Church commits itself to these judgements, recognising that, being faithful to Scripture and consistent with Tradition, they are by the same Spirit protected from error. They do not add to the truth but, although not

\* The last sentence of paragraph 15 helps to clarify what is meant by saying that such decisions exclude what is erroneous.

exhaustive, they clarify the Church's understanding of it. In discharging this responsibility bishops share in a special gift of Christ to his Church. Whatever further clarification or interpretation may be propounded by the Church, the truth expressed will always be confessed. This binding authority does not belong to every conciliar decree, but only to those which formulate the central truths of salvation. This authority is ascribed in both our traditions to decisions of the ecumenical councils of the first centuries. \*

20. The bishops are collectively responsible for defending and interpreting the apostolic faith. The primacy accorded to a bishop implies that, after consulting his fellow bishops, he may speak in their name and express their mind. The recognition of his position by the faithful creates their expectation that on occasion he will take an initiative in speaking for the Church. Primatial statements are only one way by which the Holy Spirit keeps the people of God faithful to the truth of the Gospel.

21. If primacy is to be a genuine expression of episcopē it ~~must~~<sup>will</sup> foster the koinonia by helping the bishops in their task of apostolic leadership both in their local church and in the Church universal. Primacy fulfils its purpose by helping the churches to grow in love and unity, to listen to one another, and to strive together towards the fulness of Christian life and witness; it respects and promotes Christian freedom and spontaneity; it does not impose uniformity where diversity is legitimate, or centralise administration to the detriment of local churches.

A primate exercises his ministry not in isolation but in collegial association with his brother bishops. His intervention

- Since our historical divisions, the Roman Catholic Church has continued the practice of holding general councils of its bishops some of which it has designated as ecumenical. The churches of the Anglican Communion have developed other forms of conciliarity,

in the affairs of a local church should not be made in such  
S/ a way as to <sup>usurp</sup> ~~supercede~~ the responsibility of its bishop.

22. Although primacy and conciliarity are complementary elements of episcopē it has sometimes happened that one has been emphasised at the expense of the other, even to the point of serious imbalance. When churches have been separated from one another, the danger of imbalance has been increased. The koinonia of the churches requires that a proper balance be preserved between the two with the responsible participation of the whole people of God.

23. If God's will for the unity in love and truth of the whole Christian community is to be fulfilled, this general pattern of the complementary primatial and conciliar aspects of episcopo serving the koinonia of the churches needs to be realised at the universal level. The only see which makes any claim to such universal primacy and which has exercised and still exercises such episcopo is the see of Rome, the city where Peter and Paul died. It therefore seems appropriate that in any future union such a universal primacy should be held by that see.

24. What we have written here amounts to a consensus on Church and authority and, in particular, on the basic principles of primacy in the Church. This consensus is of fundamental importance. It is when we move from these basic principles to the particular claims of papal primacy and to its exercise that problems and difficulties arise:

(a) Claims on behalf of the Roman see as commonly presented in the past have put a greater weight on the Petrine texts (Matt.16:18,19. Luke 22:31,32. John 21: 15-17) than they are generally thought to be able to bear. However, many Roman Catholic scholars do not now feel it necessary to stand by former exegesis of these texts in every respect.

(b) The first Vatican Council of 1870 uses the language of "divine right" of the successors of Peter. This language has no clear interpretation in modern Roman Catholic theology. If it is understood as affirming that the universal primacy of the bishop of Rome is part of God's design for the universal koinonia (para.23), then it need not be a matter of disagreement. But if it were further implied that as long as a church is not in communion with the bishop of Rome, it is regarded by the Roman Catholic church as less than fully a church, a difficulty would remain: for some this difficulty would be removed by simply restoring communion, but to others the implication would itself be an obstacle to entering into communion with Rome.

(c) Anglicans find grave difficulty in the affirmation that the Pope can speak infallibly. It must, however, be borne in mind that the doctrine of infallibility is hedged round by very rigorous conditions laid down at the First Vatican Council. These conditions preclude the idea that the Pope is an inspired oracle communicating fresh revelation, or that he can speak independently of his fellow bishops and the Church. For the Roman Catholic church the Pope's

dogmatic definitions, which, fulfilling the criteria of infallibility, are preserved from error, do no more but no less than express the mind of the Church on issues concerning the divine revelation. Even so, special difficulties are created by the recent Marian Dogmas, because Anglicans doubt the appropriateness, or even the possibility, of defining them.

(d) The claim that the Pope possesses universal immediate jurisdiction, the limits of which have never been clearly specified, is a source of anxiety to Anglicans who fear that the way is thus open to its illegitimate or uncontrolled use. There is, nevertheless, no doubt that the First Vatican Council intended that the papal primacy should be exercised only to maintain and never to erode the normal structures of the local churches. Theologians today are seeking to replace the juridical outlook of the nineteenth century by a more pastoral understanding of authority in the Church.