Each bishop is entrusted with a pastoral authority which must 2. be commensurate with the responsibility of his eniscope. This authority is both required and limited by the bishop's task of teaching the faith through the proclamation and explanation of the word of God, and of overseeing the administration of the sacraments in his diocese. It implies that decisions taken by the bishop in performing his task have a binding power which the faithful in his diocese have a duty to respect. This power of the usually called jurisdiction, may be defined as the power bishon. to make and impose the decisions that are required by his office for the sake of the koinonia. It is not the an ocratic power of one man over the freedom of others, but the necessary condition for the fulfilment of the bishop's duty to serve his flock as its shepherd. Likewise, the service of of a province from time to time requires a primate to act with binding authority in relation to the bishops of his province, for the good of the to local churches. Within the universal koinonia and the collegiality of all the bishops,/the bishop of Rome, who as the bishop of the local community of Rome also bears a special responsibility for the unity of all the Christian communities, also wields the jurisdictional power necessary to the fulfilment of his function.

by virtue of his function he may require obedience to the divisions

he has to make for the sake of the unity of the whole Church.

The book aheady against (Ven. 23) that it is appropriate that

the briber of Rome, holey should had the among observable to be any of the beauty of

special symmetricity for the multiple of the Airston communities.

2. Each bishop is entrusted with a pastoral authority which must be coextensive with the responsibility of his episcope. This authority is both required and limited by the bishop's task of teaching the faith through the proclamation and explanation of the word of God of exercising responsibility for the administration of the sacraments in his diocese and of maintaining his Church in holiness and truth. (cf. Venice 5). It implies that decisions taken by the bishop in performing his task have a binding power which the faithful in his diocese have a duty to respect. This power of the bishop, usually called jurisdiction, may be defined as the power to make the impose the decisions that are required by his office for the sake of the koinonia. It is not the arbitmary power of one man over the freedom of others, but the necessary condition for the fulfilment of the bishop's duty to serve his flock as its Likewise, the pastoral needs of a province from time to time require a primate to act with binding authority in relation to the bishops of So too within the universal his province, for the good of the local churches. koinonia and the collegiality of all the bishops the universal primate exercises the jurisdictional power necessary to the fulfilment of his function. virtue of his function he may require obedience to the decisions he has to make for the sake of the unity of the whole Church.

We have already agreed (Venice 23) that it is appropriate that the bishop of Rome should hold this universal primacy. Thus the bishop of the local church of Rome would also bear a special responsibility for the unity of all the Christian communities.

ىكلىل

## JURISDICTION (24d)

- 1. In paragraph 24(d) of the Venice 1976 Statement we observe that the Roman Catholic attribution to the bishop of Rome of universal immediate jurisdiction is regarded with anxiety by Anglicans. This matter deserves analysis in the light of the link between collegiality and primacy, set out in the Venice Statement.
- Each bishop is entrusted with a pastoral authority which must 2. be co-extensive with the responsibility of his episcope. This authoraty is both required and limited by the bishop's task of teaching the faith through the proclamation and explanation of the word of God, of exercising responsibility for the administration of the sacraments in his diocese and of maintaining his Church in holiness and truth. (cf. Venice 5). implies that decisions taken by the bishop in performing his task have a binding power which the faithful in his diocese have a duty to respect. This power of the bishop, usually called jurisdiction, may be defined as the power to make and impose the decisions that are required by his office for the sake It is not the arbitrary power of one man over of the koinonia. the freedom of others; but the necessary condition for the but op is to fulfilment of the bishop's duty to serve his flock as its shepherd. Likewise, the pastoral needs of a province from time to time require a primate to act with binding authority in relation to the bishops of his province, for the good of the local churches. So too, within the universal koinonia and the collegiality of all the bishops, the universal primate exercises the jurisdictional power necessary to the fulfilment of his function. By virtue of his function he may require obedience to the decisions he has to make for the sake of the unity of the whole Church.

We have already agreed (Venice 23) that is is appropriate that the bishop of Rome should hold this universal primacy. Thus the bishop of the local church of Rome would also bear a special responsibility for the unity of all the Christian communities.

The bishop of Rome, as universal primate, should exercise his 3. ministry not in isolation but in collegial association with his Each local church must be actively brother bishops (Venice 21,). aware of its communion with the other communities in which it recognises the Church of God. By the very nature of his ordination the local bishop's task includes a responsibility to maintain this Concern for the universal church is not something added awareness. from outside but is intrinsic to the nature of episcopal office, and It is to help the local bishop to make this universal dimension a reality that the universal primate has to possess, jurisdiction. This does not imply that we understand the universal primate as the source from which diocesan bishops derive their authority. Nor may the role of the universal primate be understood on the analogy of absolute monarchy or on any other political analogy. If the Church is conceived as the eucharistic communion of all the local churches, primacy will not be an autocratic power over the Church but a service in and to the Church.

No precise defined limits can be set to the scope of such pasteral jurisdiction. Its limits derive inevitably from the nature of the Church and of the universal primate's pastoral office. The jurisdiction is given for the building up and not the overthrowing of the freedom of the local churches.

This power is called in the technical language of Vatican I immediate because it is not necessarily mediated through the local bishop; and because it affords a right of appeal from him. Le decessarily.

- This demands the proper observance of the principle of subsidiar ty.

  It is because the primate, in collegiality with his fellow bishops, has the task of safeguarding the faith and unity of the universal Church that the local bishop accepts his authority.
- the distinctive life of the local churches involves a proper respect for local customs and traditions, provided they do not contradict the true faith and do not disrupt communion. The unity of all the churches through the service of the universal primacy must not be confused with a uniformity that stifles legitimate diversity. Uniformity is not the same thing as catholicity, If the jurisdiction of the primate is for the sake controlled, then it will foster and draw together the riches of the diverse traditions of the churches. The search for unity and the concern for catholicity cannot be divorced.
- 6. If these principles concerning the nature of jurisdiction are accepted as being in line with the understanding which both Anglicans and Roman Catholics shale with regard to the Church's structure, there remain specific practical questions about their application in a reunited Church. Anglicans feel the need to be reassured that the acceptance of the universal primacy as located in the See of Rome will not involve the disappearance of theological, liturgical and other traditions which they have found to be of deep value. Questions would no doubt arise concerning the continued existence of a married clergy and a specific marriage discipline. The same could be said of the method of the appointment of bishops. If anxiety is felt that the Holy See, through its administrative offices, might interfere unwarrantably in these and other areas,

some firm reassurance is required. The Roman Catholic Church has in fact experience of embracing different systems of law, canon and civil, and similarly a variety of liturgical traditions. That this recognition of the individuality of the Anglican tradition is desired by authority within the Roman Catholic Church is evident from the words of Pope Paul VI in 1970: "There will be no seeking to lessen the legitimate prestige and the worthy patrimony of piety and usage proper to the Anglican Church...."

Authority in the Roman Catholic Church has made it plain that in its judgment the ordination of women to the presbyterate falls outside the sphere of legitimate diversity. It is not easy for us to see how this obstacle in the way forward can be removed (But cf. Elucidations, 15).