



I No further long position papers required, but further summaries of information on some points would be helpful, e.g.

An exposition of Anglican ecclesiology to examine whether Bishop of Rome as focus of unity could be consistent with that ecclesiology. Distinction to be drawn between Anglican formularies and their interpretation.

An expansion of a footnote in respect of the terms of Vatican I under which papal infallibility can be exercised.

Examination of the various Roman Catholic interpretations of infallibility now being canvassed.

An examination of 'Hierarchy of Truths' and a survey of the relevant material. (N.B. Fr. Congar's paper.

The unification of existing documents in a schema. N.B.

- The resultant document to be controlled by one unifying concept of our goal koinonia?, with openness to supplementation by other models.
- Suggested that two members prepare a
 preliminary draft to be circulated to
 preliminary draft to be circulated to
 all members for comment and then considered
 in the light of the comments by a 'Poringland'
 meeting.
- III Aim at producing a finished document at the 1976 meeting.

 General consensus that it must be necessarily different from the Windsor and Canterbury Statements in that the same kind or degree of agreement might not be articulated at this stage. Hope that remaining differences could be resolved in the course of a closer interim be resolved in the course of a closer interim relationship between our two communions. Solvitur ambulando.
- IV Sequel to the production to the production of three Agreed Statements, i.e. what lies beyond them? What responsibility do we have for initiating practical steps?

