

DIOCESE OF YORK SECRETARY FOR LAY MINISTRY

Miss CHRISTIAN HOWARD

Coneysthorpe
York YO6 7DD
Tel: Coneysthorpe 264

29 January 1978

Dear Christopher

I feel that I cannot any longer delay sedding you some thing though I feel that it is still rather incomplete and, when I have read a certain amount of material that has come to me recently, I may want to add to it. I shall also give a spare carbon to Daphne in case she has some interesting material to ad.

I have been able to find enough copies of the Loumenical Leview article and there will be 9 waiting for you. I am also beginning to make notes of the documentation that we must take with as to Versailhes.

It seems to me that much of the material that one would need to put into a working paper is already contained in the ER article: the question is whether you want to draw attention to specific passages in it. If one did not have the ER article for example I think I would start with a background surveymperhaps developing with Lambeth 48 (see page 235) and then consider what has changed referring specifically to Lambeth 68 a nd the many studies in the Anglican Communion (pages 235-237) and really leading to the questions that are raised in the first para of Section III on page 239.

But though you may wish to drawn attention to them, on the whole this area is reasonably well documented in the LR article and so of course are the decisions etc of the various Frovinces though I rely on you to see that it is brought up to date. (If you have any difficulty, we will get Daphne to check from the ACC infomation bulletins which I am pretty sure they in EAU).

Before I continue with the various conclusions that the LR article has drawn out and some more which I would suggest, I want to turn to an area that I think willbe important: namely the need to provide a careful description of the way that inglican Irovinces relate to each other and why we feel it right to do so. (This seems to me not only notably different from some but ev n from the Orthodox auto-cephalous churches.

One might refer (as I do in ER p 252) to Article 34 and to those common bonds that unite Anglicans. It seems to me that these include:

- a common episcopate that which takes counsel together
- mutual acceptance of each others ministry
- a common origin of our liturgies in the 1662 Prayer Book

If these blements become less held in common (eg in the case of the Prayer Book) what are the ties that continue to bind us? If there are issues that deaply divide us (within or between Frovinces) what is the theological rationale for our remaining in Communion ? (Cf Father Nickel's comment on ER p.248).

I return to the Conclusions: I have set these out mostly in ER but I would now want to note that, even where a Province has ecided against or not to proceed yet, there seems to be no case where a Province (as distinct from groups within Provinces) has officially advanced the sort of arguments for not proceeding that are found in the Vatican declaration or the Orthodox comments. No one seems to have said simply 'It is impossible

for a woman to be ordained ' (I mean no Province). This seems to relte to questions two and three which I raise in section VI in the middle of page 252 of _R.

Can we conclude from this and from the refusal to break communion that Anglican Provinces are not able to say that it is in fact so wrong to ordain women that it is necessary to break communion or at any rate that it is perhaps what you have called a second order matter. This suggests to me that Anglican Provonces are more likely t say We can only go forward with an Anglican consensus / Consensus among Lpiscopal with the to say this is absolutely wrong. If it is/wrong, whole question of whether it is forst order or secondary arises. the intriguing suggestion quoted from Bob Wright's article quoted at the bottom of page 245 ER (I have a spare copy of this if you wish for it an .could leave it with Daphnel).

Well I really think I must stop now with apologies for my indifferent typing which is certainly not at its best in the middle of the night which it has now become.

Yours ever.

This is not a very bricilly set out again but is and hints for one workfrom the Anglican side.