The drap footiwie you have taken into your papers was prepared as a contrigaren hore in case it provide impossible to Ser agreement to the finel consensus Rolling Withour widning a proliment on the permanent presence of Chirir in the Consecrated Species". In the event this was not muched, and (Since the subject has potential organite.) The Chairmen did not have to noise the question. Thuspe the Drap Footnore Les no status, Laving neither been approved have come debeted by the Commission. But it may be a piece : I treep in veseure in the file, es the question is pursary only dormant. It charach. en dyseren i die den were die en de en En de Educ de en de e ng kan migarata di Palasa kahin daka kahi Majarah kada naka di Kanada Commence of the second second , no le pro-

Draft footnote

The presence is for communion. (This does not mean that it is affirmed or discorned nerely in the act of communion.) There are differences of emphasis and method between Anglican and Roman Catholic theologians in regard to the deductions to be made from this fact. Roman Catholic theologians, for example, can argue that the practice of reserving the Blessed Sacrament for extra-liturgical adoration attests the reality of belief in the permanent presence of Christ in the sacramental elements quite independently of communion. Anglican theologians, on the other hand, would not usually use this form of lex orandi lex credendi, and would generally regard reservation (other than for the sick) as an extension of the use for personal devotion and adoration, but not as a test of belief in the reality of the Lord's presence, which they would affirm on other grounds (vide supra).